



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.				
10/777,586	02/12/2004	Roger Howard Williams III	U 015456-6	4935				
140 LADAS & PARRY LLP 26 WEST 61ST STREET NEW YORK, NY 10023	7590 04/02/2008		<table border="1"><tr><td>EXAMINER</td></tr><tr><td>SUBRAMANIAN, NARAYANSWAMY</td></tr></table>		EXAMINER	SUBRAMANIAN, NARAYANSWAMY		
EXAMINER								
SUBRAMANIAN, NARAYANSWAMY								
			<table border="1"><tr><td>ART UNIT</td><td>PAPER NUMBER</td></tr><tr><td>3691</td><td></td></tr></table>		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	3691	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER							
3691								
			<table border="1"><tr><td>MAIL DATE</td><td>DELIVERY MODE</td></tr><tr><td>04/02/2008</td><td>PAPER</td></tr></table>		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	04/02/2008	PAPER
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE							
04/02/2008	PAPER							

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/777,586

Applicant(s)WILLIAMS, ROGER
HOWARD**Examiner**

Narayanswamy Subramanian

Art Unit

3691

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Narayanswamy Subramanian. (3) _____.

(2) Steven I. Wallach (Req. No. 35,402). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 February 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 105 and 107-109.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner Subramanian clarified the rejections made in the last office action. Suggestions for overcoming the rejections were discussed with Applicant's attorney Mr. Wallach. Attorney Wallach agreed to consider the suggestions before filing a response to the office action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Narayanswamy Subramanian/
Primary Examiner
AU3691

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required